REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The Examiner's attention to the present application is noted with appreciation.

Information Disclosure Statement. The Applicant notes that the Office Action mailed February 14, 2001 (Paper 10) contained a copy of an Information Disclosure Statement submitted by Applicant, with the handwritten notation thereon "References not on file." The Information Disclosure Statement was received by the Patent Office on March 24, 2001, and included therewith copies of 96 references.

Attached hereto as Attachment A is the return post card, clearly showing receipt on March 24, 2001, and including in the description "Copies of 96 references." If the Office is unable to locate the references, the Examiner is requested to so advise the Applicant prior to the next Office Action, so that the references can again be provided to the Office.

Election/Restrictions. The Examiner has withdrawn claims 63-81 as being directed to a non-elected invention. The stated reason is that "these newly added claims are drawn to the metals which was not originally presented or prosecuted." Applicant respectfully objects to this withdrawal.

The original Election/Restriction Requirement was mailed December 12, 2000 (Paper 7). It required restriction to one of the following inventions: Group I, claims 35-40 drawn to a method of obtaining a peptide; for Group II, claims 41-59 drawn to a combinatorial library, and for Group III, claims 60-62 drawn to a method of making a combinatorial library. In response, Group II, claims 41-59, was elected.

Dependent claim 59, which was subject to the restriction requirement and included in Group II, was drawn to "[t]he combinatorial library of claim 50 wherein each constituent library member further comprises a metal ion complexed to the metal ion-binding backbone." Thus the elected invention of Group II necessarily included a metal ion. Applicant does not understand how including other metal ions changes the claim. Because Group II as restricted in the initial Election/Restriction Requirement mailed December 12, 2000 included the library with members complexed to a metal ion, addition of other claims to the same subject does not present a non-elected invention.

Applicant submits that, e.g., an amino acid sequence which includes "a metal ion-binding

backbone for complexing with a metal ion" (see claim 41) is not subject to restriction as to a claim to a sequence defined in the same way where the metal ion-binding backbone is complexed with a metal ion.

However, Applicant has amended claim 71 such that the "metal ion" is not a limitation of the claim. It is noted that, consonant with originally elected Group II, there are dependent claims to libraries which include a metal ion (see claims 63, 64, 65 and 66, dependent on claim 41; claims 59, 68, 69 and 70, dependent on claim 50, and claims 72 and 73, dependent on claim 71.

Amendment to Claims. The claims are amended to more clearly state the invention, consonant with the suggestions of the Examiner. Independent claims 41 and 50 have been amended to more specifically define the structures. First, the transition language in the preamble has been changed to "consists of." The structures are now defined as a solid phase bound library with each constituent member consisting of between four and about twenty amino acid residues, with three forming a metal ion-binding backbone for binding to either technetium or rhenium, and to provide that the library members comprise a sequence of no more than "about twenty amino acid residues." It has been made explicit that such sequences, when the metal ion-binding backbone is complexed with a metal ion, form conformationally constrained reverse turn structures which mimic reverse turn structures. See the amendment to each of claims 41 and 50. Support for the amendment is found, inter alia, at page 30, lines 6-11. In claims 41 and 50 it is specified that the sequence is "predetermined" (i.e., known); support is found throughout the specification, see, e.g., page 26, line 27; page 27, line 26; and page 64, lines 1-3. Given that the peptides are synthesized (including use of split pool and other methodologies), it is inherent in the method of synthesis that the sequence would be known or predetermined.

Oath/Declaration. Applicant submitted a properly signed declaration with the application as filed, and attached a copy of the declaration, as Attachment A, to the Response to Office Action filed July 16, 2001. The declaration shows an execution date by the inventor of December 29, 1999, and this declaration specifically claimed priority to S.N. 08/660,697, filed June 5, 1996. Applicant does not understand why these declarations have not been matched with the file; in any event, an additional copy of the declaration with an execution date by the inventor of December 29, 1999 is submitted with this

Amendment. Note that on page 4 of 7 of the declaration the box is checked with respect to claiming priority to an earlier application, and that on page 2 of 3 of the Added Pages the prior application is specified.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 101.

Claims 41, 43-50 and 52-59-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 on the grounds that the claimed invention is not supported by either a specifically asserted utility or a well established utility. For the following reasons, Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection.

Independent claims 41 and 50 have been amended to more specifically define the structures.

First, the transition language in the preamble has been changed to "consists of." Additionally, the structures are now defined as a solid phase bound library with each constituent member consisting of between four and about twenty amino acid residues, with three forming a metal ion-binding backbone for binding to either technetium or rhenium, and to provide that the library members comprise a sequence of no more than "about twenty amino acid residues." Finally, it has been made explicit that such sequences, when the metal ion-binding backbone is complexed with a metal ion, form conformationally constrained reverse turn structures which mimic reverse turn structures. See the amendment to each of claims 41 and 50. Support for the amendment is found, inter alia, at page 30, lines 6-11.

The complexation of a tripeptide sequence including at least one residue with an available sulfur atom for complexation to either a technetium or rhenium metal ion forms a conformationally constrained secondary structure which is a mimic of a reverse turn structure. Reverse turn structures are well-known in the biological sciences, and many, if not most, receptor-ligand interactions involve a reverse turn structure.

There is nothing about "screening" per se that may appropriately be asserted in a 35 U.S.C. § 101 rejection. As MPEP § 2107.01 discusses, there is no proper rejection on the grounds that an invention is a "research tool." Because, in part, of the specific and recognized utility of mimics of reverse turn structures, the invention as now claimed has both a specifically asserted utility and a well established utility. The specifically asserted utility is discussed throughout the application. The application accordingly

discloses use of libraries directed toward integrin receptors that recognize the RGD sequence (page 59, line 1 and following); tuftsin receptors (page 60, line 22 and following); peptide hormone receptors, such as somaotstatin, cholecystokinin, opioid, melanotropin, LHRH, tachykinin and similar peptide hormone receptors (page 62, line 11 and following); and so on. Examples 67 through 74 (pages 124-127) provide specific guidance on how to construct and use libraries. The utility is well established because the importance of reverse turn structures in receptor binding is well known. Libraries which provide mimics of such structures inherently have utility.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112, First Paragraph. Claims 41, 43-50 and 52-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph. The Office Action notes (page 5) that the specification is enabling for a the specific library that binds to rhenium metal. It is submitted that both rhenium and technetium metals are used throughout the specification, and that rhenium and technetium are taught as having similar chemistries and being substantially interchangeable. See, e.g., specification at page 45, lines 11-16, and page 46, lines 17-20. Additionally, a number of actual examples of complexation with technetium are described throughout the specification. Claims 41 and 50 have been amended such that the metal ion-binding domain is specific for technetium or rhenium ions. Accordingly, it is submitted that this ground of rejection is overcome.

At page 6 of the Office Acton, it is asserted that certain language is not supported in the as-filed specification. Claims 41 and 50 have been amended to more clearly describe the invention. It is asserted that the difference in either "the selection or the sequence" may be in any part of the library member, including either the metal ion-binding domain or residues at either or both the N- or C-terminus. However, there is clear support in the specification for the "unique" sequence being either in the metal ion-binding domain or outside the metal ion-binding domain. See, e.g., page 32, line 19 bridging page 33, line 5. See also page 58, lines 8-16; page 59, line 4-28; and page 124, lines 12-14 (disclosing variation in the metal ion-binding domain by use of either Cys(Trt) or D-Cys(Trt)).

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112, Second Paragraph.

With respect to point 1) on page 7 of the Office Action, claim 41 has been amended consonant with the Examiner's suggestion. Claim 41 recites a "combinatorial sold phase bound library" and the first limitation describes the sequence of between four and about twenty amino acid residues as "bound to solid phase." It is submitted that this removes any ambiguity.

Further with respect to point 1), the word "unique" has been deleted, and is replaced with a functional description as to both claims 41 and 50.

With respect to the asserted inconsistency of claims (page 8 of the Office Action), the claims have been amended to address this concern.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 and 103.

Claims 41, 45, 46, 47, 50, 54, 55 and 59 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) as anticipated by, or in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as obvious over, Francis et al [(J.Am.Chem.Soc.) (I) or Current Opinion in Chemical Biol. (II)]. For the reasons set forth below, Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection.

Francis I and II Are Not Prior Art. Another copy of the declaration claiming priority is submitted herewith. The specification on which this application is based was S.N. 08/660,697, filed June 5, 1996. Francis II was published in 1998. Francis I was published 18 September 1996 (see Information Disclosure Statement by Applicant listing the publication date; see also Attachment B hereto). There is no basis for a rejection under either 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) or 35 U.S.C. § 103. See, e.g., MPEP § 706.02(a). As provided in MPEP § 706.02(a), for § 102(a) to apply, the "reference must have a publication date earlier in time than the effective filing date of the application." The references do not. Accordingly, these references may not properly be applied.

Claims 41, 43-50, 52-59 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as obvious over Kay et al. (5,498,538). For the reasons set forth below, Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection.

Kay Neither Anticipates Nor Renders Obvious the Claims. Kay teaches a phage display library.

Claim 41 is to a synthesized library wherein members are bound to solid phase. Claim 50 is to a library

synthesized in solution. While there is a similarity between phage display libraries and synthesized libraries (i.e., both can be peptides made of amino acids), as noted by the citation from the disclosure, there are nonetheless specific and well-recognized differences. First, the phage display library of Kay is limited to the 20 coded or naturally occurring amino acids (see Kay '538 at col. 13, lines 4-8); the invention of claims 41 and 50 is not so limited. Second, claims 41 and 50 as amended are drawn to a "predetermined" sequence (i.e., as a result of the synthetic methodology the sequences made are known). The phage display library of Kay is expressly described as having a sequence of amino acids that is "unpredictable and substantially random in sequence." See Kay '538 at col. 13, lines 8-16. Third, the phase display library of Kay is expressly described as having between 20 and 100 amino acids (see Kay '538 at col. 16, lines 53-67); the invention as claimed in claims 41 and 50 is to between 4 and about 20 amino acid residues.

However, as amended claims 41 and 50 are drawn to a compositions where the metal ion is technetium or rhenium. Kay '538 discloses only zinc, copper and nickel. Col. 47, lines 58-61. Further, while as the Office Action notes, "Kay recites that cys residues participate in Z coordinate by protein in vivo, citing Berg," nonetheless Kay teaches that for his constructs Cys does not participate. Kay teaches that it is known that Cys does not participate in immobilized metal affinity chromatography, citing Yip and Arnold. The statisticial evidence of virtually no cysteine residues demonstrates that they do not participate in binding. Finally, the teaching of Kay '538 is limited to binding to metal column (immobilized metal affinity chromatograph (IMAC) with iminodiacetic acid-sepharose used to coordinate and immobilize Zn*2 in a tridentate fashion). See Kay '538 at col. 47, lines 62-67. By contrast, claims 41 and 50 each require that the metal ion-binding backbone be for "binding to a metal ion in solution." Thus the invention as claimed is not obvious over Kay. Given that the zinc is bound to the column in a "tridentate fashion" in Kay '538, Kay '538 also fails to teach a "metal ion-binding backbone for complexing with a metal ion." Kay at most teaches a single amino acid residue (such as His) which binds in conjunction with the iminodiacetic acid that forms a tridentate bond with the zinc. Thus the invention is not obvious over Kay.

Application No. 09/483,837

In view of the above amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that all grounds of rejection and objection have been avoided and/or traversed. It is believed that the case is now in condition for allowance and same is respectfully requested.

If any issues remain, or if the Examiner believes that prosecution of this application might be expedited by discussion of the issues, the Examiner is cordially invited to telephone the undersigned attorney for Applicant at the telephone number listed below.

Also being filed herewith is a Petition for Extension of Time to November 29, 2004, with the appropriate fee, together with a Request for Continued Examination. Authorization is given to charge payment of any additional fees required, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Acct. 13-4213. A duplicate of this paper is enclosed for accounting purposes.

Respectfully submitted,

By:

tephel A. Slusher, Reg. No. 43,924

Direct line (505) 998-6130

PEACOCK, MYERS & ADAMS, P.C. Attorneys for Applicant(s) P.O. Box 26927 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87125-6927

Telephone: (505) 998-1500 Facsimile: (505) 243-2542

Customer No. 005179

[G:\AMDS\Palatin-Midas\MIDAS-Combinatorial '837 OA-2.doc]



UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DOCKETED Reply NW 5.14.01

DATE MAILED:

02/14/2001

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

RECEIVED

FEB 1 9 2001

Peacock, Myers & Adams, PC

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/483,837 Applicant(s)

Shubh

Examiner

T. Wessendorf

Group Art Unit 1627

Ш	Ш		Ш

X Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1/17/01	
This action is FINAL.	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay/035 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	on as to the merits is closed
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire3 month(s) longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for reapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained un 37 CFR 1.136(a).	esponse will cause the
Disposition of Claim	
X Claim(s) 35-62	is/are pending in the applicat
Of the above, claim(s) <u>35-40 and 60-62</u> is	s/are withdrawn from consideration
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
X Claim(s) 41-59	is/are rejected.
Claim(s)	
Claims are subject to	
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐	_disapproved.
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have b received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Ru*Certified copies not received:	·
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)4 Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES	

Applicant's election of Group II, claims 41-59 in Paper No. 9 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 35-40 and 60-62 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CAR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 9.

The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CAR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because:

It claims priority to S.N. 08/476,652, filed on 6/7/95 which is inconsistent with the priority claim at page 1 of the instant specification. The specification claims priority to S.N. 08/660,697 filed on 6/5/96 as per Prel. Amendment of 1/17/00.

The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 41-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is not supported by either a specifically asserted utility or a well established utility.

The claimed combinatorial library fails to comply with the above 101 statute that a claim to a product or composition be new and useful. The claimed combinatorial library, as broadly interpreted, reads on naturally occurring catalytic antibodies, for example, that represent or generated by the immune system. This combinatorial library is nothing more than a collection of known, existing compounds and therefore can not be considered new. Furthermore, the specification asserts the utility of the combinatorial library for screening. But screening is not a specific utility. As with all collections, screening are done, to isolate a specific compound that yields a specific utility. A patent application is a not a hunting license rather, a reward for the successful accomplishments of a search. An assessment that focuses on whether an invention is useful only in a research setting thus does not address whether the specific invention is

in fact "useful" in a patent sense. A collection or mixture of different compounds does not have a specifically identified utility, rather an invention whose specific utility requires "intermediate" or "for research purposes" which are not helpful in determining if applicant has identified a specific utility for the claimed collection or library . (See MPEP 2107).

Claims 41-59 also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by either a specifically asserted utility or a well established utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to use the claimed invention.

Claims 41-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the specific library that binds to rhenium metal, does not reasonably provide enablement for any type of combinatorial library with at least three residues, two of which form a metalion binding domain. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/483,837

Art Unit: 1627

The scope of enabling disclosure provided in the specification e.g., page 124, Example 67 is not commensurate in scope with the broadly, incompletely identified components of the claimed library. The claimed library covers too numerous variables for which the specifically designed library would not provide as enabling disclosure. The specification merely provides the known multiple synthesis of the library but does not identify a specific components of the library and more importantly, the conditions necessary for the bioassays, as asserted. The claim is nothing more than an invitation to experiment.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 41-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

1). The following language "synthesized on solid phase"., "forming a metal ion-binding domains"; "clevable bond attaching the sequence to solid phase" all relate to method of synthesis rather than to the claimed library or collection of

Application/Control Number: 09/483,837

Art Unit: 1627

compounds. The term 'unique' within the claimed context, is indefinite as to the basis or standard by which said sequence is categorized as unique. Also, the term domain, within the claimed context, fails to set the metes and bounds of the claimed invention. A sequence of one residue is indefinite since a sequence contains more than one residue.

- 2). Claim 45 recitation of 'removable " is indefinite for failing to ascertain the claimed invention with precision.
- 3). The use of abbreviations e.g., Trt renders the claim indefinite. It is suggested that applicants recite for the complete name e.g., trityl. E.g., claim 46.
- 4). The terms 'outside' and 'diversity' fail to ascertain the claim with precision, especially since the claimed library does not contain any structure. E.g., claims 47-48.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371® of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 41, 45, 46, 47, 50, 54, 55, 59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Francis et al [(J.Am.

Chems.Soc.)(I) or Current Opinion in Chemical Biol.(II)]

The broadly recited combinatorial library of peptide sequence that contains a metal-ion binding portion is fully met by the combinatorial library of Francis containing specific peptide components that bind to the specifically recited different metals. See pages 8983-8984.

See the entire disclosure of Francis II specifically at e.g., page 422, col. 2 up to page 428. Therefore, the library of Francis anticipates or renders obvious the claimed invention. Claims 41-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Kay et al (5,498,538).

The broadly recited combinatorial library of peptide sequence that contains a metal-ion binding portion is fully met by the combinatorial library of Kay containing specific peptide components that bind to the specifically recited different metals See e.g., col. 47, line 55 up to col. 56. Therefore, the library of Kay anticipates or renders obvious the claimed invention.

Certain papers related to this application may be submitted to Art Unit 1627 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1156 O.G. 61 (November 16, 1993) and 1157 O.G. 94 (December 28, 1993) (see 37 C.F.R. 1.6(d)). The official fax telephone numbers of the Group are (703)308-7924. NOTE: If applicant does submit a paper by fax, the original signed copy should be retained by applicant or applicant's representative. NO DUPLICATE COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers in the Office.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to T. Wessendorf whose telephone number is (703) 308-3967. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. to Fri. from 8 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jyothsna Venkat Ph.D.,

Application/Control Number: 09/483,837

Art Unit: 1627

can be reached on (703) 308-0570. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

T. Wessendorf
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 1627
2/12/01

TATION INFORMATION DISCLOSUR

(Use several sheets if necessary)

SERIAL NO. ATTY DOCKET NO. 09/483,837 70025-990~ APPLICANT(S) Shubh D. Sharma GROUP

	V		FILING DATE January 17, 20	00	GROUP Un	known
·		U.S	. PATENT DOCUMENTS			
EXAMINER	COCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUBCLASS	FILING DATE IF APPROPRIATE
INITIAL	4,390,528	06/28/83	NAJJAR	424	177	
7	4,427,646	01/24/84	OLEXA et al.	424	1.1	
	4,479,930	10/30/84	HNATOWICH	424	1.1	OIPE
2	4,578,079	03/25/86	RUOSLAHTI et al.	623	11	MUR 24 700 25
	4,650,787	03/17/87	SCHALLY et al.	514	11	
5-	4,668,503	05/26/87	HNATOWICH	424	1.1	TA TRADEMENT
in	4,680,276	07/14/87	BACH et al.	436	542	
	4,732,864	03/22/88	TOLMAN	436	547	DEC 3 - 5004
	4,849,505	07/18/89	STAVRIANOPOULOS	530	300	TECH CENTER 1800/298
	4,859,765	08/22/89	NESTOR, JR. et al.	530	333	
	4,863,898	09/05/89	ASHMEAD et al.	514	6	
		FORE	IGN PATENT DOCUMENTS			
	DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	COUNTRY	CLASS	SUBCLASS	TRANSLATION YES NO
	2,016,235	11 Sep 90	Canada			
	94810008.6	10 Jan 94	EPO			
	PCT/US93/05372	04 Jun 93	PCT			
	PCT/US93/04794	21 May 93	PCT			
	PCT/US93/03687	19 Apr 93	PCT			
	OTHER DOCUME		ng Author, Title, Date, Pertinent		Automotive from comments	
	Sciences, Vol. 31, pp. 13	89-199. tium-99m with T	ically Active Peptides Via Amino Adetrapeptides, a New Class of Tc-lab			
	"Secondary Structure J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996	Nucleation in Per D. Vol. 112, No. 4	ptides. Transition Metal Ion Stabiliz . pp. 1630-1632 a Helical Metallopeptides via a No	vel Exchang		
	M.R. Ghadiri et al. J.	Am Chem. Soc. 1	990, Vol. 112, No. 26. pp. 9633-9635			

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

EXAMINER

DATE CONSIDERED

INFORMATION DISCLOSUR

TATION

(Use several sheets if necessary)

ATTY DOCKET NO.
70025-99 09/483,837

APPLICANT(S)
Shubh D. Sharma

FILING DATE
January 17, 2000

Unknown

*EXAMINER	DOCUMENT NUMBER	ĐATE	NAME	CLASS	SUBCLASS	FILING DATE IF APPROPRIATE
	4,883,861	11/28/89	GRILL et al.	530	326	
	4,986,979	01/22/91	MORGAN, JR. et al.	424	1.1	
	5,028,593	07/02/91	NISHIOKA	514	18	The Sol
	5,023,237	06/11/91	PICKART	514	18	MAR 24 2000 5
	5,059,588	10/22/91	PICKART	514	12	TA TRADEMARK
	5,091,176	02/25/92	BRAATZ et al.	424	78.17	MADO
	5,118,665	06/02/92	PICKART	514	6	
	5,157,023	10/20/92	LIPTON	514	18	DEC 3 - 2004
	5,196,510	03/23/93	RODWELL et al.	530	324	1600/2900
	5,200,504	04/06/93	GHADIRI	530	304	H CENTER 1800
	5,214,131	05/25/93	SANO et al.	530	345	

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

FOREIGN	PATENT	DOCUMEN	1TS

	DOCUMENT NUMBER	0.475	DATE COUNTRY CLASS SUBCLASS		TRANSLATION		
1		DATE	Coomin		30302.00	YES	NO
	PCT/US93/02320	12 Mar 93	PCT				
	PCT/US92/10716	19 Nov 92	PCT				
	PCT/US94/06274	03 Jun 94	PCT				
	PCT/US94/08335	21 Jul 94	PCT				
	PCT/US92/00757	07 Feb 92	PCT				

OTHER DOCUMENTS (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages. Etc.)

- "A Convergent Approach to Protein Design. Metal Ion-Assisted Spontaneous Self-Assembly of a Polypeptide into a Triple-Helix Bundle Protein" by M.R. Ghadiri et al. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, Vol. 114, No. 3, pp. 825-831.
 - "Synthesis and Radiochemical Studies of Model Chelators For Tc-99m" by P.R. Singh et al. Proceedings of the 43rd Annual Meeting, Journal of Nuclear Medicine. June 4, 1996. p. 28p.
 - "A Review of the Utility of Soluble Peptide Combinatorial Libraries" by C. Pinilla et al. Biopolymers (Peptide Science), Vol. 37, 1995. pp. 221-240.
 - "Design of Metal ion Binding Peptides" by R. Fattorusso et al. Biopolymers (Peptide Science), Vol. 37, 1995. pp. 401-410.

EXAMINER

DATE CONSIDERED

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

INFORMATION DISCLOSUF

TATION

(Use several sheets if necessary)

SERIAL NO. ATTY DOCKET NO. 09/483,837 70025-9902 APPLICANT(S) Shubh D. Sharma GROUP FILING DATE Unknown January 17, 2000

		U.	S. PATENT DOCUMENTS			
*EXAMINER	DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUBCLASS	FILING DATE IF APPROPRIATE
	5,225,180	07/06/93	DEAN et al.	424	1.1	
	5,328,840	07/12/94	COLLER	435	240.2	
	5,371,184	12/06/94	RAJAGOPALAN et al.	530	324	LAE A
	5,382,513	01/17/95	LAM et al.	435	7.1	MAR 2 4 2000 E
	5,382,654	01/17/95	LYLE et al.	530	311	TRADEMINE.
	5,395,609	03/07/95	STUTTLE	424	1.69	9 IHAU
	5,408,036	04/18/95	GHADIRI	530	304	ntt 2 - 2004
	5,410,020	04/25/95	GHADIRI	530	333	
	5,438,119	08/01/95	RUTTER et al.	530	333	TECH CENTER TEXALES
	5,440,013	08/08/95	KAHN	530	317	
	5,443,815	08/22/95	DEAN et al.	424	1.41	
		FOR	EIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS			
	DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	COUNTRY	CLASS	SUBCLASS	TRANSLATION
					 	YES NO
	PCT/US93/06029	23 Jun 93	PCT			

(Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.) OTHER DOCUMENTS

'Novel Biopolymers for Drug Discovery" by E.J. Moran et al. Biopolymers (Peptide Science) Vol. 37, 1995. pp. 213-219.

"One-Bead-One-Structure Combinatorial Libraries" by M. Lebl et al. Biopolymers (Peptide Science) Vol. 37, 1995. pp. 177-198.

"Discovery of Sequence-Selective Peptide Binding by Synthetic Receptors Using Encoded Combinatorial Libraries" By W.C. Still. Acc. Chem. Res. 1996, Vol. 29, No. 3, pp. 155-163.

"Strategy and Tactics in Combinatorial Organic Synthesis. Applications to Drug Discovery" By E.M. Gordon et al. Acc. Chem. Res. 1996, Vol. 29, No. 3. pp. 144-154.

EXAMINER

DATE CONSIDERED

EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

INFORMATION DISCLOSUF TATION

(Use several sheets if necessary)

SERIAL NO. ATTY DOCKET NO. 09/483,837 70025-9902 APPLICANT(S) Shubh D. Sharma GROUP FILING DATE Hinknown

			January 1	7, 2000	Uı	nknown
		U	S. PATENT DOCUMENTS			
*EXAMINER	DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUBCLASS	FILING DATE IF APPROPRIATE
	5,443,816	08/22/95	ZAMORA et al.	424	1.69	
	5,464,934	11/07/95	DUNN et al.	530	326	
	5,470,753	11/28/95	SEPETOV et al.	436	89	OIPE
	5,475,085	12/12/95	KAHN	530	317	MAR 24
	5,556,609	09/17/96	ZAMORA	424	1.69	
	5,569,745	10/29/96	GOODBODY et al.	530	328	TA THADEN
	5,670,155	09/23/97	KAHN	424	208.1	Wid .
					A IN	0 12
				DEC 3 - 200	DEM	70 -
					7 F. S.	2010
				TECH OSNUER 460	HE 200	
		FOF	REIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS			
	DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	COUNTRY	CLASS	SUBCLASS	TRANSLATION YES NO
	OTHER DOCU	MENTS (Includ	ding Author, Title, Date, Perti	nent Pages, Etc	:.)	
	"Design, Synthesis, a pp. 132-143.	nd Evaluation of	Small-Molecule Libraries" By J.	.A. Ellman. Acc. C	Chem Res. 1990	6, Vol. 29, No. 3.
			Strategies for Combinatorial Lib pp. 123-131.			
			ounts of Chemical Research, Vol			
	"Synthesis and Appl pp. 555-600.	ications of Small	Molecule Libraries" by L.A. Tho	ompson et al. Cher	n Rev. 1996, V	ol. 96, No. 1.
EXAMINER			DATE CONSIDERE	D		
-51444	aitial if reference considered, wh	ether or not citation	is in conformance with MPEP 609	: Draw line through	citation if not in	conformance and not

Form PTO-A820 (also form PTO-1449) Copyright 1994 LegalStar

considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

P09C/REV03

Patent and Trademark Office * U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

09/483,837 70025-9902 **INFORMATION DISCLOSUR 'TATION** APPLICANT(S) Shubh D. Sharma (Use several sheets if neces___y) GROUP FILING DATE Unknown January 17, 2000 U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS FILING DATE *EXAMINER CLASS SUBCLASS DATE NAME DOCUMENT NUMBER IF APPROPRIATE INITIAL OEC 3 - 2004 ECHICENTER 1600/29 **FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS** TRANSLATION COUNTRY CLASS SUBCLASS DATE DOCUMENT NUMBER YES NO OTHER DOCUMENTS (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.) "Combinatorial Organic Synthesis Using Parke-Davis's DIVERSOMER Method" by S.H. DeWitt et al. Acc. Chem. Res. 1996, Vol. 29, No. 3. pp. 114-122. "The Use of Light-Directed Combinatorial Peptide Synthesis in Epitope Mapping" by C.P. Holmes et al. Biopolymers (Peptide Science) Vol. 37, 1995. pp. 199-211. "The Galvanization of Biology: A Growing Appreciation for the Roles of Zinc" By J.M. Berg et al. Science, Vol. 271. 2/23/96. pp. 1081-1085. "Imaging Focal Sites of Bacterial Infection in Rats wit Indium-111-Labelled Chemotactic Peptide Analogs" By A.J. Fischman et al. The Journal of Nuclear Medicine, Vol. 32, No. 3, March 1991. pp. 483-491. DATE CONSIDERED **EXAMINER** *EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

ATTY DOCKET NO.

SERIAL NO.

Form PTO-A820 (also form PTO-1449)

ATTY DOCKET NO. SERIAL NO. 70025-9902 09/483,837 INFORMATION DISCLOSUR **TATION** APPLICANT(S) Shubh D. Sharma (Use several sheets if neces. _.y) GROUP **FILING DATE** January 17, 2000 Unknown **U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS** FILING DATE **EXAMINER** CLASS SUBCLASS NAME DOCUMENT NUMBER DATE IF APPROPRIATE INITIAL TEE 3 - 2004 TECH CENTER 1600/2900 FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS TRANSLATION SUBCLASS DOCUMENT NUMBER DATE COUNTRY CLASS YES OTHER DOCUMENTS (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.) "Autoradiographic analysis of formylpeptide chemoattractant binding, uptake and intracellular processing by neutrophils" By A.H. Janeczek et al. Journal of Cell Science 94, 1989. pp. 155-168. "Technetium-99-m-Labeled Chemotactic Peptides: Comparison with Indium-111-Labeled White Blood Cells for Localizing Acute Bacterial Infection in the Rabbit" By J.W. Babich et al. Jour. Nuc. Med., Vol. 34 No.12, 1993. pp. 2176-2181. "Antithrombotic Properties of L-Cysteine, N-(mercaptoacetyl)-D-Tyr-Arg-Gly-Asp-Sulfoxide (G4120) in a Hamster Platelet-Rich Femoral Vein Thrombosis Model" By Y. Imura et al. Blood, Vol. 80 No. 5, 1992. pp. 1247-1263. "Thrombus Imaging with Technetium-99m Synthetic Peptides Based upon the Binding Domain of a Monoclonal Antibody to Activated Platelets" By L.C. Knight et al. Jour. Nuc. Med., Vol. 35 No. 2, 1994. pp. 282-288. DATE CONSIDERED **EXAMINER**

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

ATTY DOCKET NO. 09/483,837 70025-990~ INFORMATION DISCLOSUR **'TATION** APPLICANT(S) Shubh D. Sharma (Use several sheets if necesuary) GROUP FILING DATE Unknown January 17, 2000 **U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS** FILING DATE *EXAMINER CLASS SUBCLASS NAME DOCUMENT NUMBER DATE IF APPROPRIATE INITIAL OHT 3 - 2004 THE CENTER 1600 PEOD FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS TRANSLATION CLASS SURCLASS DATE COUNTRY DOCUMENT NUMBER YE\$ NO OTHER DOCUMENTS (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.) 'In-111 Laminin Peptide Fragments for Malignant Tumor Detection" By D. Swanson et al. Jour. Nuc. Med. Vol. 34 Np. 5, 1993. p. 231P. "The use of a chelating derivative of alpha melanocyte stimulating hormone for the clinical imaging of malignant melanoma" By E.P. Wraignt et al. British Journal of Radiology, 1992, Vol. 65. pp. 112-118. "BisMSH-DTPA A Potential Imaging Agent for Malignant Melanoma" By D.R. Bard et al. Annals of New York Academy of Sciences, Vol. 680, 1993. pp. 451-453. "Prediction of The Secondary Structure of Proteins from Their Amino Acid Sequence" By P.Y. Chou et al. Graduate Department of Biochemistry, Brandeis University, Pub. No. 1195. pp. 45-148. DATE CONSIDERED EXAMINER EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Form PTO-A820 (also form PTO-1449) P09C/REV03

Patent and Trademark Office * U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

SERIAL NO.

INFORMATION DISCLOSUF TATION

(Use several sheets if neces....y)

ATTY DOCKET NO. 70025-9902	SERIAL NO. 09/483,837
APPLICANT(S) Shubh D. Sharma	
FILING DATE	GROUP

			January 17,		Un	known	
		U.S. PA	TENT DOCUMENTS				
EXAMINER INITIAL	DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUBCLASS	FILING DAT	
					DEC.	RADEMAN	Manual Ma
					TECH	~	
		FOREIGN	PATENT DOCUMENTS				
	DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	COUNTRY	CLASS	SUBCLASS	TRANS YES	NO NO
	·						
	OTHER DOCUME		uthor, Title, Date, Pertine				
	"Bis(Aminothiol) Oxorb 1995. p. 68P. "Conformational Design	nenium Complexes V	J. Hruby et al. Synthetic Pep Whose Structure Mimic Stero y V.J. Hruby et al. Synthetic . Hruby et al. Synthetic Pept	ids" By R. K. Ho	om et al. J. Nu 's Guide, 1992	c. Med., Ju	
EXAMINER			DATE CONSIDERED				
*EXAMINER: Iniconsidered. Incl	tial if reference considered, whethe	er or not citation is in o	conformance with MPEP 609; Dant.	Oraw line through c	itation if not in	conformance	e and not

Form PTO-A820 (also form PTO-1449)

			ATTY DOCKET NO. 70025-9902		SERIAL NO. 09/	483,837	·
•	INFORMATION DISCLOSUR (Use several sheets if nece.		APPLICANT(S) Shubh D. Sharma				
	1038 Several sheets it moote	FILING DATE January 17, 20		GROUP Un	known		
		U.S. P	ATENT DOCUMENTS	-			
*EXAMINER	DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUBCLASS	FILING DATE	E
						DEC 3 - 80	DA
							OUBBO
					0	ENCENTER	
					MAR	26 200	
					EE	e c'	
					en en	ADRIAN,	
•		FOREIGN	N PATENT DOCUMENTS				
	DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	COUNTRY	CLASS	SUBCLASS	TRANSLATI YES	NO NO
	OTHER DOCUME	ENTS (Including	Author, Title, Date, Pertinent	Pages, Etc	:.)		
	"Zinc Coordination, Fe 29 No. 24, 1990. pp. 564	nction, and Structu 17-5659.	re of Zinc Enzymes and Other P	roteins" By I	B.L. Vallee et al	. Biochemistry	, Vol.
			ific American, Feb. 1993. pp. 56-				
	"A Consensus Zinc Fin Sequence Variant" By	ger peptide: Design, B.A. Krizek. H. Am.	High-Affinity Metal Binding, a Chem. Soc., Vol. 113 No. 12, 199	pH-Depende)1. pp. 4518	nt Structure, ar -4523.	id a His to Cys	
	"Calcium-Induced Pep al. Science, July, 1990.	tide Association to F pp. 280-283.	Form an Intact Protein Domain:	1H NMR Str	uctural Eviden	ce" By G.S. Sh	aw et
EXAMINER	3		DATE CONSIDERED				
EXAMINE	R: Initial if reference considered, wheth	er or not citation is in	conformance with MPEP 609; Drav	v line through	citation if not in	conformance an	d not
considered.	. Include copy of this form with next co	minumeation to applic	Jani.				

Form PTO-A820 (also form PTO-1449) Copyright 1994 LegalStar P09C/REV03

		-	ATTY DOCKET NO. 70025-9902	s	SERIAL NO. 09/483,837			
•	INFORMATION DISCLOSUR (Use several sheets if nece.		APPLICANT(S) Shubh D. Sharma					
	(036 364614) 316613 11 11666	"	FILING DATE January 17, 20	1 -	ROUP Un	known		
		U.S. F	PATENT DOCUMENTS					
*EXAMINER	DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUBCLASS	FILING DATE		
IIIII					Am			
				VEC.	4 - Co-	NF		
					ENTER INDIVIDUAL	1.	- \	
		-		1		24 200		
					E C			
						FIRADEMA		
					6	PE	. ,	
					/ d	,	<u>- P</u>	
						24 2000) de 0	
					The second second	PRADEMINE	<u>\$</u>	
		FOREIG	N PATENT DOCUMENTS					
	DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	COUNTRY	CLASS	SUBCLASS	TRANSI YES	ATION NO	
						-		
						<u></u>		
	OTHER DOCUM		Author, Title, Date, Pertinent					
	"Calcium-induced Pro Binding Unit" By R.E	tein Folding: Struct . Reid et al. J. Bio. (ure-Affinity Relationships in Syn Chem. Vol. 256, No. 6, 1981. pp. 2	thetic Analogs 742-2751.	s of the Helix-	Loop-Helix	Calcium	
		71.	nto Three-Helix Bundles" By M.					
	1990. pp. 287-300.		ough short-range cyclizations" B					
	"Peptide-Membrane I Biopolymers, Vol. 31,	nteractions and a No 1991, pp. 785-792.	ew Principle in Quantitative Stru	cture-Activity	Relationships	" By R. Sc	hwyzer.	
EXAMINE	ER		DATE CONSIDERED					
*EXAMIN	ER: Initial if reference considered, whete	ner or not citation is in ommunication to appl	n conformance with MPEP 609; Dra- icant.	w line through o	citation if not in	conformance	and not	

Form PTO-A820 (also form PTO-1449)

P09C/REV03

Docket Number (Optional) Application Number 70025-9902-09/483,837 'INFORMATION DISCLOSUR 'TATION Applicant(s) Shubh D. Sharma (Use several sheets if necessu.,, Filing Date Group Art Unit Unknown January 17, 2000 **U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS** FILING DATE EXAMINER SUBCLASS NAME CLASS REF DOCUMENT NUMBER DATE INITIAL IF APPROPRIATE FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS Translation SUBCLASS DATE COUNTRY CLASS REF DOCUMENT NUMBER YES NO

OTHER DOCUMENTS (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)

"Conformation and complexation with metal ions of cyclic hexapeptides: cyclo (L-Leu-L-Phe-L-Pro)2 and cyclo |L-Cys(Acm)-L-Phe-L-Pro|2" By E. Ozeki et al. Int. J. Peptide Protein Res. 34, 1989. pp. 111-117.

"Design, Synthesis, and Complexing Properties of (1Cys-1'Cys,4Cys-4'Cys)-dithiobis(Ac-L1Cys-L-Pro-D-Val-L-4Cys-NH2). The First Example of New Family of Ion-Binding Peptides" J. Am. Chem. Soc. Vol. 115-25. pp. 11664-11670.

"Homodimeric and Heterodimeric Bis(amino thiol) Oxometal Complexes with Rhenium(V) and Technetium(V). Control of Heterodimeric Complex Formation and an Approach to Metal Complexes that Mimic Steroid Hormones" By D.Y. Chi et al. J. Med. Chem., 1994, Vol. 37 No. 7, pp. 928-937

"Protein Structure" By V.J. Hruby et al. Synthetic Peptides, A User's Guide, 1992. pp. 24-33.

EXAMINER

DATE CONSIDERED

EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP Section 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

INFORMATION DISCLOSUR TATION (Use several sheets if necessa,				Docket Number (Optional) 70025-9902 Applicant(s)		Application Number 09/483,837			
				Shubh D. Sharma					
				Filing Date January 17, 2		Group Art Unit Un	known		
			U.S	S. PATENT DOCUMENTS					
EXAMINER INITIAL	REF	DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUBCLASS	FILING E		
						1	TPE	~\-\-\-\-\-\-\-\-\-\-\-\-\-\-\-\-\-\-\-	
						7	MAR 24 200	OFFICE OL	
		·				The second secon	C& TRADEMAS		
						DEC	3 - 2004		
					·	- CHSI	NIER 150012	100	
					ļ				
: 			FO	REIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS					
	REF	DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	COUNTRY CLASS SUF		SUBCLASS			
							YES	NO	
	· · · ·	The Company				Date, Pertinent P			
		"Secondary Structure P	rediction By V.J. I	Iruby et al. Synthetic Peptide	s, A User's Gu	ide, 1992. pp. 9	y- 4 1.		
									
EXAMINER			DATE CONSIDERED						
EXAMINE not conside	R: Initial ered. Inclu	if citation considered, whether o ude copy of this form with next o	or not citation is in con communication to appl	formance with MPEP Section 609 icant.	Draw line thro	ugh citation if no	ot in conform	ance and	
F DYC	4000			P09A/REV04	Patent and Trader	nark Office * U.S. DE	PARTMENT OF	COMMERCE	

PTO/SB/08B (10-96)

Approved for use through 10-31-99. OMB 0651-003
Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Substitute for form 14	49B/PTO	COMPLETE IF KNOWN		
		Application Number	09/483,837	
INFORMATION I STATEMENT BY	APPLICANT	Filing Date	January 17, 2000	DEC 3 - 200
	RE JC >3	First Named Inventor	SHARMA, Shubh D.	
(use as many sheets as	NAY 0 9 7000	Troup Art Unit	1616	TECH CENTER 1600
	` `	SIZ	D. Jones	
Sheet 1 of	1 PATENT & TH	Attorney Docket Number	70025-9902-11	

Examiner Initials	Cite No.	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published	T ²
- john		SEVERIN, Kay, et al., "Bioorganiometallic Chemistry-Transition Metal complexes with a-Amino Acids and Peptides," Agnew. Chemie, International Edition, Vol. 37, No. 12, June 1998, pp. 1635-1654.	
}		FRANCIS, Matthew B., et al., "Combinatorial Libraries of Transition-metal Complexes, Catalysts and Materials," Cur. Opin. in Chem. Biol., Vol. 2, June 1998, pp. 422-428	
		FRANCIS, Matthew B., et al., "Combinatorial Approach to the Discovery of Novel Coordination Complexes," J. Amer. Chem. Soc., Vol. 118, No. 37, 18 Sept. 1996, pp. 8983-8984.	
7			

Examiner Signature	T Werenin	Date Considered	2/12/01

EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

[F:\MIKE\PATENT\IDS\Palatin\837 Suppl-1449form-2.doc]

¹ Unique citation designation number. 2. Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

Approved for use through 10-31-99. (651-0031
Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number. RECEIVED

MAY 15 2000

TECHCENTER 1623 COMPLETE IF KNOWN Substitute for form 1449A/PTO 09/483,837 Application Number INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICAN January 17, 2000 Filing Date SHARMA, Shubh D. First Named Inventor (use as many sheets as necessary) Group Art Unit 1616 **Examiner Name** D. Jones 70025-9902-11 of Attorney Docket Number Sheet **U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS** Pages, Columns, Lines, Name of Patentee or Date of Cite U.S. Patent Document Examiner Where Relevant Publication Applicant of Cited Initials No.1 Kind Code Passages or Relevant Document of Cited (if known) Number Figures Appear Document Oct. 15, 1996 5,565,325 Blake Key, et al. Mar. 12, 1996 5,498,538 FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS Pages, Columns, Lines Foreign Patent Document Name of Patentee of Date of **Examiner Initials** Cite Applicant of Cited Publication Where Relevant No. Kind of Cited Passages or Relevant Office 3 Number 4 Document Code 5 Document Figures Appear T (if known) 18 Sep. 1997 WO 97/33626 Univ. of Massachusetts WO 99/10016 Resolution Pharmaceuticals, 4 Mar. 1999 Date Considered Examiner Signature

EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

[F:\MIKE\PATENT\IDS\Palatin\837 Suppl-1449form1.doc]

^{1.} Unique citation designation number. 2. See attached Kinds of U.S. Patent Documents. 3. Enter office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3).

4. For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. 5. Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST.16 if possible. 6. Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.